# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	
V.	)	CR. NO. 3:05-cr-147-A
	)	
DANNY JOE McCART	j	

### GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Comes now the United States of America, by and through Leura Garrett Canary, United States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama, and respectfully requests that the following Jury Instructions be given to the jury in the above case. All of the instructions are 11th Circuit Pattern Instructions.

Respectfully submitted this the 28th day of November, 2005.

LEURA GARRETT CANARY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Kent B. Brunson KENT B. BRUNSON Assistant United States Attorney Bar Number: ASB-3094-U62K One Court Square, Suite 201 Montgomery, Alabama 36104 Telephone: (334) 223-7280

Fax: (334) 223-7135

E-mail: kent.brunson@usdoj.gov

3

## Definition of Reasonable Doubt

Thus, while the Government's burden of proof is a strict or heavy burden, it is not necessary that the Defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the Government's proof exclude any "reasonable doubt" concerning the Defendant's guilt.

A "reasonable doubt" is a real doubt, based upon reason and common sense after careful and impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in the most important of your own affairs. If you are convinced that the Defendant has been proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so. If you are not convinced, say so.

4.2

### Evidence - Direct and Circumstantial

### Argument of Counsel and

### Comment of Court

As stated earlier you must consider only the evidence that I have admitted in the case. The term "evidence" includes the testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits admitted in the record. Remember that anything the lawyers say is not evidence in the case. It is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that controls. What the lawyers say is not binding upon you. Also, you should not assume from anything I may have said that I have any opinion concerning any of the issues in this case. Except for my instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own decision concerning the facts.

In considering the evidence you may make deductions and reach conclusions which reason and common sense lead you to make; and you should not be concerned about whether the evidence is direct or circumstantial. "Direct evidence" is the testimony of one who asserts actual knowledge of a fact, such as an eye witness. "Circumstantial evidence" is proof of a chain of facts and circumstances indicating that the Defendant is either guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight you may give to either direct or circumstantial evidence.

5

#### Credibility of Witnesses

Now, in saying that you must <u>consider</u> all of the evidence, I do not mean that you must <u>accept</u> all of the evidence as true or accurate. You should decide whether you believe what each witness had to say, and how important that testimony was. In making that decision you may believe or disbelieve any witness, in whole or in part. Also, the number of witnesses testifying concerning any particular dispute is not controlling. You may decide that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses concerning any fact in dispute is more believable than the testimony of a larger number of witnesses to the contrary.

In deciding whether you believe or do not believe any witness I suggest that you ask yourself a few questions: Did the person impress you as one who was telling the truth? Did he or she have any particular reason not to tell the truth? Did he or she have a personal interest in the outcome of the case? Did the witness seem to have a good memory? Did the witness have the opportunity and ability to observe accurately the things he or she testified about? Did he or she appear to understand the questions clearly and answer them directly? Did the witness's testimony differ from the testimony of other witnesses?

7

# **Expert Witnesses**

When knowledge of a technical subject matter might be helpful to the jury, a person having special training or experience in that technical field -- one who is called an expert witness -- is permitted to state his or her opinion concerning those technical matters.

Merely because an expert witness has expressed an opinion, however, does not mean that you must accept that opinion. The same as with any other witness, it is up to you to decide whether to rely upon it.

9.1

## On or About - - Knowingly - - Willfully

You will note that the indictment charges that the offense was committed "on or about" a certain date. The Government does not have to prove with certainty the exact date of the alleged offense. It is sufficient if the Government proves beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed on a date reasonably near the date alleged.

The word "knowingly," as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was done voluntarily and intentionally and not because of mistake or accident.

The word "willfully," as that term has been used from time to time in these instructions, means that the act was committed voluntarily and purposely, with the specific intent to do something the law forbids; that is with bad purpose either to disobey or disregard the law.

10.1

Caution - - Punishment

(Single Defendant - - Single Count)

I caution you, members of the Jury, that you are here to determine from the evidence in this case whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The Defendant is on trial only for the specific offense alleged in the indictment.

Also, the question of punishment should never be considered by the jury in any way in deciding the case. If the Defendant is convicted the matter of punishment is for the Judge to determine.

4

### Possession

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may also have sole possession or joint possession.

A person who has direct physical control of something on or around his person is then in actual possession of it.

A person who is not in actual possession, but who has both the power and the intention to later take control over something either alone or together with someone else, is in constructive possession of it.

If one person alone has possession of something, possession is sole. If two or more persons share possession, possession is joint.

Whenever the word "possession" has been used in these instructions it includes actual as well as constructive possession, and also sole as well as joint possession.

Third:

## GOVERNMENT'S REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 8

73

### POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY CONVICTED FELON

18 USC 922(g)

Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g), makes it a Federal crime or offense for anyone who has been convicted of a felony offense to possess any firearm or ammunition.

A Davis Industries, Model P32, .32 caliber, semi-automatic pistol and a Smith and Wesson, Model 10-6, .38 caliber revolver are firearms as defined by law.

The Defendant can be found guilty of that offense only if all of the following facts are proved beyond a reasonable doubt:

> First: That the Defendant has been convicted in a court of a crime which is punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, as charged; and

Second: That he possessed a firearm or ammunition as charged; and

That the firearm or ammunition so possessed traveled in or affected

interstate commerce.

# IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)	
	)	
V.	)	CR. NO. 3:05-cr-147-A
	)	
DANNY JOE McCART	)	

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 28, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: Christine A. Freeman.

Respectfully submitted,

LEURA GARRETT CANARY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Kent B. Brunson KENT B. BRUNSON Assistant United States Attorney Bar Number: ASB-3094-U62K One Court Square, Suite 201 Montgomery, Alabama 36104 Telephone: (334) 223-7280

Fax: (334) 223-7135

E-mail: kent.brunson@usdoj.gov